



# Epidemiology of bacteremia in the pediatric oncology service, report 2022, Hospital de Solca-Guayaquil.

Aníbal Bonilla Núñez <sup>1</sup> , Fabiola Aveiga Reinoso <sup>2</sup> , Andrés González Cabrera <sup>3</sup> , Luis Espín Custodio <sup>1</sup>

1. Pediatric Oncology Service, SOLCA Hospital, Guayaquil-Ecuador.
2. Microbiology Service, SOLCA Hospital, Guayaquil-Ecuador.
3. Postgraduate Department of Pediatrics, Roberto Gilbert Elizalde Hospital, Guayaquil-Ecuador.

## Abstract

**Introduction:** Infections are one of the main complications in the pediatric oncology population due to the use of myeloablative treatments. Continuous monitoring of the microorganisms involved and their susceptibility to antibiotics has become as crucial as their rational use to avoid resistance to treatment. The objective of the present study was to establish the epidemiology of hospital pathogens and, with these data, to establish an initial, efficient, and effective empirical management protocol.

**Methods:** Blood cultures taken from febrile neutropenic children hospitalized in the pediatric department of the Solca Hospital in Guayaquil during the year 2022 were analyzed. The cultures were taken directly from peripheral blood and retro cultures from samples of venous devices. The identification of the microorganisms and their sensitivity was carried out in the institution's microbiology department.

**Results:** A total of 1019 cultures were analyzed: 165 were positive (16.19%), 147 were new microorganisms, and 18 were follow-up cultures. Bacteria were identified in 101 cases (68.71%), and fungi were identified in the remaining 46 (31.29%). The main microorganisms isolated were the gram-negative bacteria *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, the most frequent (16.33%). Among gram-positive staphylococci, the majority are coagulase-negative staphylococci. *Staphylococcus aureus* 7.48%. The primary fungus isolated was *Candida parapsilosis* (17.69%). No *Aspergillus* spp. were isolated.

**Conclusions:** The results differed from the previous year's epidemiology; specific changes forced the use of new antibiotics.

## Keywords:

**MeSH:** Blood Culture, Neutropenia, Febrile Neutropenia, Chemotherapy-induced Febrile Neutropenia, Child.

## Abbreviations

AMK: amikacin.  
CFZ: Cefazolin.  
CFX: cefotaxime.  
CFP: Cephoperazone.  
IMI: imipenem.  
MERO: meropenem.  
TAZO: Piperacillin Tazobactam.

## Supplementary information

No supplementary materials are declared.

## Acknowledgments

Not declared.

## Author contributions

Eliezer Arellano Rojas: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, acquisition of funds, research, writing - original draft.  
Eduardo Barrio Nuñez: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Juan Pablo Masías Toapanta: Fund acquisition, Research, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing.  
All the authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

## Financing

The authors of this article financed the expenses of this research.

## Availability of data and materials

Not declared.

## Introduction

Infections are one of the main complications in the pediatric oncology population and are mainly associated with states of immunosuppression [1, 2] and the need to use invasive devices, such as central venous access or implantable venous chamber systems [3].

The use of increasingly myeloablative chemotherapies leads to more profound and more prolonged neutropenic states, with which complications related to health care appear, with aggressive microorganisms [4, 5]. Likewise, the development of antibiotic resistance is a cause of growing concern. It is currently a public health problem, requiring continuous surveillance of the main germs and their sensitivity and the rational use of medications [6, 7].

The optimal and timely decision regarding empirical antibiotic and antifungal treatment [7, 8] is not always easy or straightforward and depends on many variables, especially those related to the epidemiology of each institution.

This study aimed to identify for 12 months the main microorganisms associated with infections in febrile pediatric oncology patients of the Solca Hospital and their sensitivity to establish efficient and effective initial empirical management.

## Materials and methods

### Study design

The present study is cross-sectional. The source is retrospective.

### Scenery

The study was conducted in the Hospital de Solca-Guayaquil, Ecuador, pediatric oncology service. The study period was from January 1, 2022, to December 31, 2022.

### Participants

Pediatric oncology patients with febrile neutropenia were included. Cases with incomplete data were eliminated from the analysis.

### Variables

The variables were the type of microorganism, sensitivity to antibiotics in the case of bacteria, sensitivity to antifungals in the case of fungi, and comparison with the historical file 2008-2022.

### Data sources/measurements

The source was indirect; an electronic form was completed using data from the institutional medical history of the patients who entered the hospitalization period. A review of

the registry of the pediatric oncology unit and the microbiology laboratory was carried out. The information was treated confidentially; no personal data were included to identify the study subjects.

### Biases

To avoid interviewer, information, and memory biases, the leading researcher always maintained the data with a guide and records approved in the research protocol. Observation and selection bias was avoided by applying participant selection criteria. Two researchers independently analyzed each record in duplicate, and the variables were registered in the database once their agreement was verified.

### Study size

The sample was probabilistic. Guayas-Ecuador has 4,391,923 inhabitants, with 25.7% of children ranging from zero to 14 years old, corresponding to 1,128,724 children. According to the Ecuadorian Institute of Statistics and Censuses (INEC), in 2021, 6,331 new cases of cancer were registered in children and adolescents up to 19 years of age in Ecuador. These new cases represent 9.4% of the new cancer cases registered in the country that year, which would represent 106,100 cases for the province of Guayas. The percentage of children with neoplasms who develop febrile neutropenia is 25 to 35%, which corresponds to a total of 26,525 cases (25%). Using the EPI info™ program (Version 7.2.5, CDC, Atlanta, USA, September 2022.) with an expected frequency of 50%, a confidence limit of 5%, a 99% confidence interval, and the sample size. There are 647 cases for the number of samples collected. For the number of analyzable positive samples with a total of 26,525, with a frequency of culture positivity of 16% (historical file of 2021) with a confidence limit of 5% and a confidence level of 90%, the sample size was 145 cases.

### Quantitative variables

Descriptive statistics were used. The results are expressed as frequencies and percentages.

### Statistical analysis

Noninferential statistics are used. For the descriptive analysis, the incidence of positive blood culture was calculated. Annual reference tables have been available since 2008 for the same institution. However, no statistical tests are carried out to compare proportions between years. The 95% confidence interval for a proportion is presented.

## Results

### Participants

The study included 1019 cultures from hospitalized patients in the study period.

### Prevalence of blood culture positivity

There were 165 positive blood cultures, representing 16.19% (95% CI 13.9% – 18.5%). Of these, 18 were follow-up cultures where microorganisms already detected were found. Without considering the follow-up tests, bacteria were identified in 101 blood cultures (68.71%), and fungi were identified in the remaining 46 (31.29%). The summarized prevalence is presented in [Table 1](#).

**Table 1 .** Positivity of blood cultures of the study group.

| Variable   | N=1019       | 95% CI         |
|------------|--------------|----------------|
| Prevalence | 165 (16.19%) | 13.9%-18.5%    |
| Follow-up  | 18 (1.8%)    | 1.0% - 2.6%    |
| bacteria   | 101 (9.91%)  | 8.08% - 11.75% |
| Fungus     | 46 (4.51%)   | 3.24%- 5.79%   |

CI: confidence interval for a proportion.

### Epidemiology of the blood cultures studied.

Among the bacteria, 54 gram-negative bacteria were identified (36.73%): 24 *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, 8 *Acinetobacter baumannii*, 6 *Burkholderia cepacia*, 5 *Escherichia coli*, 5 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, 2 *Enterobacter aerogenus*, 2 *Enterococcus faecium*, 1 *Serratia marcescens* and 1 *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. It is essential to mention that 16 were carbapenemase-producing strains with a marked predominance of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and, to a lesser extent, *Escherichia coli* and *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Regarding the identification of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL), they occurred in 11 cases, mainly in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli*.

In the remaining 47 cultures, gram-positive bacteria were isolated (31.97%), 11 were *Staphylococcus aureus*, and the remaining 36 were coagulase-negative staphylococci: 21 *hominis*, seven *epidermidis*, seven *hemolyticus*, and one *saprophyticus*.

Forty-six fungi were isolated; 44 corresponded to the genus *Candida*: 26 *parapsilosis*, 13 *guilliermondii*, three *tropicalis*, and two *albicans*, and the remaining 2 to *Cryptococcus neoformans*. ([Table 2](#)).

**Table 2.** Results of crops blood with isolation detected.

|              | Microorganism                       | n                                   | %            |       |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| Bacteria     | <b>Bacteria</b>                     | <b>101</b>                          | <b>68.71</b> |       |
|              | Gram -                              | <i>Klebsiella species</i>           | 24           | 16.33 |
|              |                                     | <i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>      | 8            | 5.44  |
|              |                                     | <i>Burkholderia cepacia</i>         | 6            | 4.08  |
|              |                                     | <i>Escherichia coli</i>             | 5            | 3.40  |
|              |                                     | <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>       | 5            | 3.40  |
|              |                                     | <i>Enterobacter aerogenus</i>       | 2            | 1.36  |
|              |                                     | <i>Enterococcus faecium</i>         | 2            | 1.36  |
|              |                                     | <i>Serratia marcescens</i>          | 1            | 0.68  |
|              |                                     | <i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i> | 1            | 0.68  |
| Gram +       |                                     | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>        | 11           | 7.48  |
|              | <i>Staphylococcus hominis</i>       | 21                                  | 14.29        |       |
|              | <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>   | 7                                   | 4.76         |       |
|              | <i>Staphylococcus hemolyticus</i>   | 7                                   | 4.76         |       |
|              | <i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i> | 1                                   | 0.68         |       |
|              | <b>Fungus</b>                       | <b>46</b>                           | <b>31.29</b> |       |
| Yeasts       | <i>Candida parapsilosis</i>         | 26                                  | 17.69        |       |
|              | <i>Candida guilliermondii</i>       | 13                                  | 8.84         |       |
|              | <i>Candida tropicalis</i>           | 3                                   | 2.04         |       |
|              | <i>Candida albicans</i>             | 2                                   | 1.36         |       |
|              | <i>Cryptococcus neoformans</i>      | 2                                   | 1.36         |       |
| <b>Total</b> |                                     | <b>147</b>                          | <b>100</b>   |       |

### Antibiotic sensitivity

Regarding sensitivity to antibiotics, 100% of the recorded coagulase-positive staphylococci were sensitive to vancomycin, linezolid, and tigecycline; 91% were sensitive to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, 55% to clindamycin and only 37% to oxacillin.

Regarding coagulase negativity, sensitivity to vancomycin, linezolid, and tigecycline was 100%: 80% to trimethoprim, 29% to clindamycin, and 23% to oxacillin.

The susceptibility of gram-negative microorganisms was 95% for colistin, 94% for tigecycline (excluding *Pseudomonas*), 74% for carbapenems (meropenem and imipenem), 78% for aminoglycosides, 65% for ciprofloxacin, 60% for ceftazidime, 55% for piperacillin-tazobactam and 51% for cefepime ([Table 3](#)).

**Table 3 .** Percentage of sensitivity of the main microorganisms bacterial.

| Microorganisms                    | Antibiotics |      |          |                      |           |          |           |               |          |           |                        |            |           |           |             |            |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|------|----------|----------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------|------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|
|                                   | n           | %    | Amikacin | Ampicillin sulbactam | Cefazolin | Cefepime | Cefoxitin | Ciprofloxacin | Imipenem | Meropenem | Piperacillin/Tzobactam | Tigacillin | Colistine | Oxacillin | Clindamycin | Vancomycin |
| Staphylococcus coagulase negative | 36          | 21.8 | -        | -                    | -         | -        | -         | -             | -        | -         | -                      | 100        | 23        | 29        | 100         | 80         |
| <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>      | 24          | 14.5 | 78       | 13                   | 35        | 35       | 48        | 53            | 61       | 61        | 43                     | 95         | 100       | -         | -           | -          |
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>      | 9           | 5.5  | -        | -                    | -         | -        | -         | -             | -        | -         | -                      | 100        | -         | 37        | 55          | 100        |
| <i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>    | 8           | 4.8  | 88       | 64                   | 75        | 75       | 45        | 75            | 88       | 88        | 63                     | 88         | 88        | -         | -           | -          |
| <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>     | 5           | 3.0  | 100      |                      | 60        | 60       |           | 80            | 80       | 80        | 80                     | -          | 100       | -         | -           | -          |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i>           | 5           | 3.0  | 100      | 15                   | 20        | 20       | 25        | 20            | 60       | 60        | 40                     | 100        | 100       | -         | -           | -          |
| Enterobacter aerogenus            | 2           | 1.2  | 100      | 75                   | 63        | 75       | 13        | 88            | 100      | 88        | 75                     | 100        | 100       | -         | -           | -          |

### Antifungal sensitivity

The antifungal susceptibility found in this study was 100% for voriconazole, 89% for fluconazole, 87% for caspofungin, and 59% for amphotericin ([Table 4](#)).

**Table 4 .** Percentage of sensitivity of the primary fungus.

| Microorganisms                | Antifungals |      |              |             |             |              |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
|                               | n           | %    | Amphotericin | Caspofungin | Fluconazole | Voriconazole |
| <i>Candida parapsilosis</i>   | 26          | 16   | 52           | 84          | 88          | 100          |
| <i>Candida guilliermondii</i> | 13          | 7.88 | 62           | 85          | 92          | 100          |
| <i>Candida tropicalis</i>     | 3           | 1.8  | 66           | 100         | 66          | 100          |
| <i>Candida albicans</i>       | 2           | 1.21 | 100          | 100         | 100         | 100          |

### Discussion

Of the 1,019 blood cultures taken in 2022, 165 were positive (16.2%). There were 147 new isolates and 18 follow-up cultures. Gram-negative bacteria were 44%, gram-positive bacteria were 28%, and fungi were 28%. This incidence is related to myeloablative chemotherapy treatments that lead to neutropenias of greater intensity and duration, increased hospitalization time, and consequently, an increase in infections.

Among the gram-positive bacteria, there is a predominance of coagulase-negative staphylococci, which are poorly sensitive to oxacillin and clindamycin and 100% sensitive to vancomycin, linezolid, and tigecycline. This high frequency is due to the increase in the use of long-term intravascular devices, the intensity of neutropenia caused by chemotherapy, and antibiotic prophylaxis for gram-negative bacteria. It is under discussion whether these coagulase-negative Staphylococci were pathogenic or contaminating since differentiation by isolation time or colony counting was not frequently done since taking samples in children is difficult and causes injuries at the puncture sites. These factors act as sources of infection. When treatment was considered, we individualized the patient, preferring vancomycin.

For *Staphylococcus aureus*, we used vancomycin or linezolid; when tigecycline was used, we discontinued vancomycin. Although linezolid penetrates lung tissue better, it is used in cases where the patient presents nephrotoxicity. In outpatients, TMS and clindamycin have good sensitivity. Except in specific situations, we do not use ciprofloxacin due to the cross-resistance it induces.

Taking as a reference previous studies carried out at the SOLCA-Guayaquil Hospital, the sensitivity of gram-positive bacteria to vancomycin, linezolid, and tigecycline has remained at 100% for over 15 years. Sensitivity to clindamycin and oxacillin has been gradually decreasing, but sensitivity to TMS has improved ([Table 5](#)).

Gram-negative bacteria represented 44% of the total isolates, similar to the 1980s when a predominance of infections caused by gram-negative bacilli was reported [5, 6].

Regarding the sensitivity of gram-negative bacteria compared to the 2021 results, excellent sensitivity to amikacin stands out at 78% (previously 93%), tigecycline at 94%, and colistin at 95% (previously 100%). These two are last-line drugs. Sensitivity to third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins is maintained but decreasing: for cefepime, 51% (previously 58). Regarding carbapenems (imi and meropenem), 74% (previously 85%). Piperacillin tazobactam 55% (previously 73%), ciprofloxacin 65% (previously 75%). Sensitivities that are related to the frequency of its use. Ceftazidime maintains a sensitivity of 60%, but we do not have it available (Table 6). *Acinetobacter baumannii* was sensitive to 73% cefepime, 73% imipenem, 73% meropenem, 73% piperazone, 73% ciprofloxacin, colistin, and 100% tigecycline. *E. coli* maintained good sensitivity to amikacin, imipenem, meropenem, tigecycline, colistin, and piper tazo 100% and little sensitivity to cefepime 38% and ciprofloxacin 25%. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was 100% sensitive to colistin and 95% sensitive to tigacycline

78%. to amikacin, with a sensitivity to imipenem and meropenem of 61%. Pipertazo 43%, cefepime 35% and cipro 48%. Only two multisusceptible *Enterobacter aerogenes* were isolated. *Pseudomonas* were sensitive 100% to amikacin, 60% to ceftazidime, 60% to cefepime, 100% to colistin, 80% to ciprofloxacin and piperazone, and 80% to imipenem and meropenem.

It is striking that despite the frequent use of antimicrobials, the isolated germs maintain an acceptable sensitivity to the drugs used. The orderly management of antibiotics following a predetermined empirical scheme, modified according to the isolates, infectious focus, and severity of the host's condition and characteristics, has been satisfactory.

**Table 5.** Sensitivity of gram-positive bacteria 2008-2022 and cost per day of treatment.

| Gram +       | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2013  | 2014 | 2018 | 2019 | 2021 | 2022 | USD/day |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| Vancomycin   | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100   | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100  | \$4.79  |
| Linezolid    | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100   | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100  | \$35.19 |
| AMP-SUB      | 58   | 65   | 18   | 64   | 50    | 28   |      |      |      |      |         |
| Clindamycin  | 69   | 83   | 59   | 82   | 61    | 41   | 45   | 28   | 67   | 35   |         |
| Erythromycin | 59   | 78   | 39   | 82   | 61    | 48   | 45   |      |      |      |         |
| Oxacillin    | 54   | 65   | 18   | 73   | fifty | 31   | 9    | 17   | 25   | 24   |         |
| TMS          | 42   | 83   | 63   | 82   | 89    | 62   | 100  | 61   | 83   | 80   | \$0.37  |
| Tigecycline  | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100   | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100  | 100  | \$71.99 |

AMP-SULB: ampicillin sulbactam. TMS: trimethopin sulfamethoxazole.

**Table 6.** Sensitivity of gram-negative bacteria 2007-2022 and cost per day of treatment.

| Gram - | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2018 | 2019 | 2021 | 2022 | USD/day |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| AMK    | 65   | 85   | 87   | 42   | 90   | 87   | 94   | 97   | 80   | 93   | 78   | \$3.65  |
| CFZ    | 70   | 75   | 75   | 90   | 84   | 70   | 71   | 67   | 78   | 60   | 60   | \$51.4  |
| CFX    | 50   | 59   | 68   | 58   | 63   | 45   | 55   | 64   |      |      |      |         |
| CFP    | 59   | 67   | 70   | 95   | 61   | 65   | 58   | 67   | 78   | 58   | 51   | \$7.80  |
| Cipro  | 87   | 86   | 85   | 69   | 67   | 70   | 73   | 73   | 78   | 75   | 65   | \$1.14  |
| IMI    | 91   | 97   | 92   | 95   | 82   | 89   | 99   | 77   | 93   | 85   | 74   | \$76.98 |
| MERO   | 96   | 98   | 95   | 95   | 86   | 93   | 99   | 87   | 93   | 85   | 74   | \$55.05 |
| MUG    | 74   | 95   | 87   | 100  | 81   | 85   | 80   | 64   | 88   | 73   | 55   | \$59.80 |

AMK: amikacin. CFZ: Cefazolin. CFX: cefotaxime. CFP: Cephoperazone. IMI: imipenem. MERO: meropenem. TAZO: Piperacillin Tazobactam.

**Table 7.** Comparison 2021-2022.

| Variable                 | 2021     | 2022     |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| Crops                    | 734      | 1019     |
| Effectiveness            | 16.20%   | 18.20%   |
| Gram +                   | 43%      | 28%      |
| St. Aureus               | 12 (32%) | 9 (20%)  |
| S.Coag Neg               | 39 (68%) | 36 (80%) |
| Gram -                   | 53%      | 44%      |
| Klebsiella               | 32%      | 44%      |
| Acinetobacter            | 18%      | 16%      |
| Enterobacter             | 16%      | 14.5%    |
| E. Coli                  | eleven % | 14.5%    |
| Pseudomonas              | 8%       | eleven % |
| KPC                      | 0.4%     | 0.16%    |
| ESBL                     | 0.12%    | 0.11%    |
| Candida                  | 0.5%     | 0.46%    |
| <i>C. albicans</i>       | 3        | 2        |
| <i>C. Guilliermondii</i> | 1        | 13       |
| <i>C. Parapsilosis</i>   | 1        | 26       |

C: Candida

We noticed that some germs in successive cultures lose their sensitivity and the existence of multiresistance in patients with previous hospitalizations or referred from other hospitals.

Forty-six candida were isolated (2 *albicans*, 26 *paraphimosis*, 13 *guilliermondii*, three tropical, one *Cryptococcus neoformans*, and one *Cryptococcus Laurentiis*), representing a significant increase in fungal infections in our children with NF [9] (only 5 in 2021). Alternatively, with improvement in detection methods, no *Aspergillus* spp. were isolated [10, 11].

Currently, we think that the early use of fluconazole as treatment (or prophylaxis, as long as there is no interference with chemotherapy), even without detection of the germ, taking as parameters the persistence of fever for more than five days, prolonged neutropenia and findings in images, could decrease yeast isolation. Fluconazole could be temporarily replaced with amphotericin (liposomal is unavailable) at low doses (0.3 mg), monitoring its nephrotoxicity, or with caspofungin. The appearance of candida resistant to amphotericin and caspofungin draws attention.

In patients with profound and prolonged neutropenia, we performed surveillance cultures, originally nasal, pharyngeal, and perianal, but due to costs, they were limited to nasal and perianal cultures. We reviewed the results obtained at 6 months, and the conclusion was that the pharyngeal and nasal cultures could have been more productive. Most of the time, with normal flora, no methicillin-resistant staphylococci, penicillin-resistant pneumococci, or *Aspergillus* were isolated. In

contrast, with the perianals, we were able to detect multiresistant gram-negative bacteria, *Klebsiella* ESBL and KPC, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, colonizing germs that could be responsible for the fever in prolonged febrile neutropenia that is difficult to manage. No resistant enterococci and only one *Serratia* were isolated. Vancomycin was used in specific cases and at the beginning of managing severe sepsis with unknown germ (Table 7).

## Conclusions

The sensitivity of blood cultures was 16.2%, primarily gram-negative bacteria, of which *Klebsiella* was the most frequent. The initial empirical treatment scheme was piperacillin-tazobactam with or without amikacin progressing according to evolution to carbapenems, unlike in 2021, which used cefepime. The results were different, noting an increase in KPC and candida, which made it necessary to use higher-line antibiotics such as ceftazidime, avibactam, tigecycline, or colistin, and due to the incidence of candida, fluconazole was needed as prophylaxis and treatment and sometimes caspofungin or amphotericin. Vancomycin was used in specific cases and at the beginning of the management of severe sepsis with unknown germ.

## References

- Maldonado M, Acuña M, Alvarez A, Aviles C, De la Maza V, Salgado C, et al. Microorganisms isolated from blood cultures in Children with Cancer and High Risk febrile neutropenia in five hospitals of Santiago, Chile, period 2012-2015. Magazine Chilean infectious disease. 2018;35(2):140-6. doi: [10.4067/s0716-10182018000200140](https://doi.org/10.4067/s0716-10182018000200140)
- Rose W, Veeraraghava B, Pragasaam A, Verghese V. Antimicrobial susceptibility profile of isolate from pediatric bloodstream infections. Indian Pediatrics. 2014;51(9), 752-753. PMID: [25228617](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25228617/).
- Özdemir ZC, Koç A, Ayçiçek A. Microorganisms isolated from cultures and infection focus and antibiotic treatments in febrile neutropenic children from Şanlıurfa, Turkey. Turk J Pediatr. 2016;58(1):47-53. doi: [10.24953/turkjped.2016.01.007](https://doi.org/10.24953/turkjped.2016.01.007). PMID: 27922236.
- Alali M, David MZ, Danziger-Isakov LA, Elmuti L, Bhagat PH, Bartlett AH. Pediatric Febrile Neutropenia: Change in Etiology of Bacteremia, Empiric Choice of Therapy and Clinical Outcomes. J Pediatr Hematol

- Oncol. 2020 Aug;42(6):e445-e451. doi: [10.1097/MPH.0000000000001814](https://doi.org/10.1097/MPH.0000000000001814). PMID: 32404688.
5. Kebudi R, Kizilocak H. Febrile Neutropenia in Children with Cancer: Approach to Diagnosis and Treatment. *Curr Pediatr Rev.* 2018;14(3):204-209. doi: [10.2174/1573396314666180508121625](https://doi.org/10.2174/1573396314666180508121625). PMID: 29737253.
  6. Fawad U. Bacteriological Spectrum and Antibiotic Susceptibility on Blood Culture in Newly Diagnosed Pediatric Patients With Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia During the Induction Phase. *Cureus.* 2022 May 30;14(5):e25470. doi: [10.7759/cureus.25470](https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.25470). PMID: 35800825; PMCID: PMC9246452.
  7. Oliveira AL, de Souza M, Carvalho-Dias VM, Ruiz MA, Silla L, Tanaka PY, Simões BP, et al. Epidemiology of bacteremia and factors associated with multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteremia in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2007 Jun;39(12):775-81. doi: [10.1038/sj.bmt.1705677](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bmt.1705677). Epub 2007 April 16. PMID: 17438585.
  8. Freifeld AG, Bow EJ, Sepkowitz KA, Boeckh MJ, Ito JI, Mullen CA, Raad II, Rolston KV, Young JA, Wingard JR; Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clinical practice guideline for the use of antimicrobial agents in neutropenic patients with cancer: 2010 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2011 February 15;52(4):427-31. doi: [10.1093/cid/ciq147](https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciq147). Epub 2011 January 4. PMID: 21205990.
  9. Treçarichi EM, Tumbarello M. Antimicrobial-resistant gram-negative bacteria in febrile neutropenic patients with cancer: current epidemiology and clinical impact. *Curr Opin Infect Dis.* 2014 Apr;27(2):200-10. doi: [10.1097/QCO.000000000000038](https://doi.org/10.1097/QCO.000000000000038). PMID: 24573013.
  10. Nucci M. How I Treat Febrile Neutropenia. *Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis.* 2021 Mar 1;13(1):e2021025. doi: [10.4084/MJHID.2021.025](https://doi.org/10.4084/MJHID.2021.025). PMID: 33747406; PMCID: PMC7938921.
  11. Kumar M, Campos J, Hanisch B. Clinical Utility of Anaerobic and Fungal Blood Cultures in the Pediatric Oncologic Population. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2020 Jul;42(5):345-349. doi: [10.1097/MPH.0000000000001809](https://doi.org/10.1097/MPH.0000000000001809). PMID: 32287096.

## Statements

### Ethics committee approval and consent to participate

The ethics committee of the Hospital de Solca-Guayaquil approved the study. With a protocol review exemption letter due to it being a retrospective database study.

### Publication consent

Not needed when patient-specific images, X-rays, and studies are not published.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

### Author information

**Aníbal Bonilla Núñez**, Doctor in Medicine and Surgery from the University of Guayaquil (Ecuador, 2008). Specialist in Pediatrics from the University of Guayaquil (Ecuador 2009). Treating Physician of the Pediatric Oncology Service, SOLCA Guayaquil.

Email: [nibalbon@yahoo.com](mailto:nibalbon@yahoo.com)

ORCID <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-2002-4188>

**Juanita Fabiola Aveiga Reinoso**, Doctor in Medicine and Surgery from the University of Guayaquil, (Ecuador, 20 02). Specialist in Clinical Pathology from the University of Guayaquil (Ecuador 2010). Clinical Pathologist of the Microbiology Service, SOLCA Guayaquil.

Email: [faveiga@solca.med.ec](mailto:faveiga@solca.med.ec)

ORCID <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-3669-6676>

**Andrés González Cabrera**, Doctor from the Universidad Católica Santiago de Guayaquil, (Ecuador, 20 14). Resident Physician of the Pediatrics Postgraduate, Roberto Gilbert Elizalde Hospital.

Email: [andres\\_gonzalez@hotmail.com](mailto:andres_gonzalez@hotmail.com)

ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4289-3957>

**Luis Enrique Espín Custodio**, Doctor in Medicine and Surgery from the University of Guayaquil, (Ecuador, 200 2). Specialist in Pediatrics from the University of Guayaquil (Ecuador, 2011). Head of Pediatric Oncology Service, Solca-Hospital, Guayaquil.

ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0880-2377>

## Editor's Note

Actas Médicas Magazine (Ecuador) remains neutral concerning jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: April 17, 2023.

Accepted: July 28, 2023

---

**Published:** December 1, 2023.

**Editor:** Dr. Mayra Ordoñez Martínez.

**How to cite:**

Bonilla A, Aveiga F, González A, Espín L. Epidemiology of bacteremia in the pediatric oncology service, report 2022, Hospital de Solca-Guayaquil. *Actas Médicas (Ecuador)* 2023;33(2):73-80.



Copyright 2023, Aníbal Bonilla Núñez, Fabiola Aveiga Reinoso, Andrés González Cabrera, Luis Espín Custodio. This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which permits noncommercial use and redistribution provided the source and the original author is cited.

**Correspondence:** Aníbal Bonilla Núñez. Mail: [nibalbon@yahoo.com](mailto:nibalbon@yahoo.com)

Address: Q3P9+JV4, Calle 29 y, O'connors, Guayaquil. Guayaquil Abel Gilbert Pontón Hospital. Telephone: (04) 259-7400.

---